




**Barron's Video Interview
with Chris Davis**
Watch Now



Update from Portfolio Managers
Chris Davis and Danton Goei

Davis New York Venture Fund

Semi-Annual Review 2025

Key Takeaways

- Davis New York Venture Fund (DNYVF) returned +11.51% in the first half of 2025 vs. +6.20% for the S&P 500 Index, adding to a record of outperformance that stretches back more than 55 years to our inception in 1969.
- This performance comes during a period of transition across three fronts—economics, technology and geopolitics—which we discuss in detail below. These transitions, coupled with high valuations and market concentration, create an environment of heightened risk, volatility and disruption.
- However, our investment discipline is being rewarded. Our portfolio is made up of companies with resilient growth, durable earnings power, significant free cash flow and some combination of long-lived assets, pricing power, competitive advantage, balance sheet strength, proven management and attractive valuation.
- Our focus on businesses with long-term growth and low valuations, instead of the high valuations and unprecedented concentration of the equity indices, positions us to reward long-term shareholders who have resisted chasing the fads and fashions of the last decade.

The average annual total returns for Davis New York Venture Fund's Class A shares for periods ending June 30, 2025, including a maximum 4.75% sales charge, are: 1 year, 10.03%; 5 years, 14.01%; and 10 years, 10.09%. The performance presented represents past performance and is not a guarantee of future results.

Total return assumes reinvestment of dividends and capital gain distributions. Investment return and principal value will vary so that, when redeemed, an investor's shares may be worth more or less than their original cost. For most recent month-end performance, visit davisfunds.com or call 800-279-0279. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance quoted. The total annual operating expense ratio for Class A shares as of the most recent prospectus was 0.92%. The total annual operating expense ratio may vary in future years. Returns and expenses for other classes of shares will vary.

This material includes candid statements and observations regarding investment strategies, individual securities, and economic and market conditions; however, there is no guarantee that these statements, opinions or forecasts will prove to be correct. All fund performance discussed within this material refers to Class A shares without a sales charge and are as of 6/30/25, unless otherwise noted. This is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any specific security. **Past performance is not a guarantee of future results. The Attractive Growth and Undervalued reference in this material relates to underlying characteristics of the portfolio holdings. There is no guarantee that the Fund performance will be positive as equity markets are volatile and an investor may lose money.**

Performance: Building Long-Term Wealth

Davis New York Venture Fund (DNYVF) returned +11.51% in the six months ended June 30, 2025, and has grown wealth for shareholders in all periods since its inception. The longer a shareholder has been invested in the fund, the more their savings have grown (see Figure 1).

For more than 55 years the fund's results have also exceeded the return on the S&P 500 Index. Since the fund's inception in 1969 through the first half of 2025, an initial \$10,000 investment would have grown to \$4.82 million (see Figure 2). This is roughly \$1.8 million or 61% more than if the same \$10,000 had been invested in a passively managed S&P 500 Index fund over the same period. It is more than 3,800% higher than would have been achieved if the \$10,000 had been held in money market funds for the same length of time. ■

Fig. 1: DNYVF Builds Long-Term Wealth for Investors

\$10,000 Hypothetical Investment





Fig. 2: DNYVF Has Outperformed the Market Since Inception¹

Hypothetical \$10,000 Investment Since 1969



Outlook:

Triple Transition and Market Complacency equals Growing Risks and Select Opportunities

The triple transitions of economic, technological and geopolitical transformation paired with a complacent and concentrated market may lead to greater risk and a shrinking opportunity set. Because of this combination, our investment discipline remains laser-focused on identifying durable undervalued businesses within a narrow and selective opportunity set. Our selective process leads us to reject roughly nine out of 10 companies in the S&P 500 Index.

Over the last three years when each of these transitions began in earnest, our fundamental analysis and selectivity have been rewarded with strong absolute results and relative outperformance of the S&P 500 Index. Meanwhile, in this fast-changing landscape, former safe havens and past market darlings have become more perilous. We are well-positioned for this environment and

gratified that the investors who stood firm through a decade in which our discipline was out of favor are now being rewarded.

Economic Transition: The End of the Free-Money Era

Beginning with the financial crisis of 2008-2009 and continuing through March 2022, many of the tenets of sound investing seemed to become irrelevant. In the U.S., interest rate suppression (both directly and indirectly through a bond purchase program known as quantitative easing), accompanied by dramatic increases in government spending and ballooning Federal deficits, became a matter of national policy. As the cost of money approached zero despite huge increases in money supply, these policies created significant market distortions. Assets were mispriced, risks ignored, inflation allowed to metastasize, and valuation discipline penalized. After more than a decade of these distortions, the shift to a more normal environment began in March 2022 when the U.S. Federal Reserve recognized the reality of inflation and announced the first of 11 consecutive increases in the Federal funds benchmark rate.

¹ Source: Davis Advisors and Morningstar. Graph represents performance from 2/17/69 to 6/30/25. T-Bill data is as of 3/31/25. **Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.**

Given the sheer magnitude of the distortions created in the free-money era, the great unwinding that began in 2022 likely still has a long way to go. As liquidity and credit get repriced, strains in levered asset classes such as commercial real estate, private equity and other so-called alternative assets are likely just the beginning.

Investors should be prepared for unexpected disruptions and heightened volatility. Although the trigger may be unknowable, the high valuations of many of today's market darlings rest on extremely rosy assumptions which could change quickly should the market's current optimism be undercut by the unpleasant appearance of one or more so-called black swans, inevitable but unpredictable shocks to the system. As for the short term, while we expect further interest rate cuts later this year, we remain concerned that by far the greater risks investors face today are still inflation and speculation.

Technological Transition: AI and Its Implications

In addition to an economic transition, technological disruption is also creating significant changes in the investment landscape as investors grapple with the implications of generative artificial intelligence, or so-called GenAI. While we are naturally skeptical of hype, we must begin by stating unequivocally that GenAI is likely to be the most transformational technological development in modern history, driving major advances across numerous industries while creating significant new competitive, economic and social risks.

Like politics, discussions about AI often devolve into extreme camps. On one side, the optimists highlight that AI will generate unprecedented gains in productivity, economic growth and innovation,

leading to vast improvements in sustainable energy, human longevity and quality of life. On the other hand, the pessimists warn that such gains in productivity and innovation will lead to soaring unemployment (particularly in white collar industries where it is estimated that 50% of entry-level jobs will be eliminated within five years), and that the power of AI will be exploited by terrorists and autocrats alike before eventually turning on humanity itself.

In a polarized time, our job is not to be optimistic or pessimistic but rather realistic and adaptable. Looking at the world through this lens, we know that the market for AI products and services is still in its very early days and competition is fierce. At such times, we believe it is very risky to project who the long-term winners and losers will be based on their past one or two years of performance. For example, the substantial technological and societal transformations heralded by the internet in the 1990s culminated in the dot-com bubble and subsequent crash. As our friend Bill Nygren, portfolio manager at Oakmark Funds, noted: "In 2000, amidst 'dotcom' hysteria, the largest cap Internet companies were Cisco, America Online and Yahoo!. AOL and Yahoo! ended up nearly worthless, and Cisco ... has lost 80% relative to the S&P 500 Index. We think these results should give pause to anyone believing the AI winners have already been determined."²

AI is also likely to prove disruptive to once stable industries in ways that are difficult to anticipate. As one observer noted recently, "when the iPhone was invented, flashlight makers were not worried." Many companies that have long been considered reliable safe havens or growth darlings are vulnerable to disruption as technology changes business models and consumer behavior. This type

2. Oakmark Fund, "U.S. equity market commentary 2Q 2024," 6/30/24.

of disruption is likely to penalize both momentum investors who, by definition, assume past trends will continue, as well as risk-averse value investors who may wrongly flock to former safe havens in the service and consumer sectors before realizing that they are as prone to AI disruption as manufacturers once were to globalization. However, within this disruptive environment, there is no doubt that opportunities will present themselves to research-driven investors like us who are willing to be highly selective and open-minded.

Geopolitical Transition: Economic Nationalism and Global Uncertainty

Geopolitical disruption is the third transition shaping the investment environment as economic nationalism and protectionism replace free trade and globalization. The prospect of higher labor costs, inconsistent trade policies and increasing global conflict create unpredictability and economic strain. At such times, characteristics like durability, resiliency and adaptability will be more important than ever. Our focus on selecting companies that embody these characteristics may well be a tailwind in the decade ahead as investors come to recognize increasing fragility in a period of economic, technological and geopolitical transition. ■

Complacency, Risk and Select Opportunities

Typically, in an investment environment characterized by transition and uncertainty, markets trade at low valuations. Today's uncertainty, however, has been met with a complacency bordering on denial. Fortunately, in the face of an extremely richly valued

and concentrated market, we have put together a very selective portfolio of companies that combine attractive growth with low valuations, a combination we call a value investor's dream. As shown in Figure 3, our dramatic differentiation from the market allows us to be simultaneously wary of the S&P 500 Index, which is trading at multiples significantly above the historic average, and optimistic for our selective portfolio of companies which trades at a 36% discount to the index while growing earnings meaningfully faster than the index portfolio.

Fig. 3: Selective, Attractive Growth, Undervalued³

	Fund	Index
Holdings	43	503
EPS Growth (5 Year)	18.7%	15.1%
P/E (Forward)	14.8x	23.1x



Before turning to the details of our portfolio, however, it is worth unpacking the complacency and risk we see in the high valuation and concentration of the indices at today's levels. Several metrics give a good picture of the risk we see in the averages and the opportunity these risks create for our portfolio.

Record High Valuations

In terms of valuation, as can be seen in Figure 4, the P/E ratio of the S&P 500 Index at the end of March 2025 was almost two standard deviations above its historic average. At the same time, U.S. stock market value as a percentage of our total GDP (a ratio often referred to as the "Buffett Indicator" in honor of Warren Buffett who described it as one of the best long-term indicators of the relative attractiveness of stocks) was at its highest level in history.

³ Source: Davis Advisors. 5-Year Annual EPS Growth is the weighted average of the average annualized earnings per share growth of the stocks in the Fund or Index over the past five years. Approximately 5.85% of the assets of the Fund are not accounted for in the calculation of 5-Year Annual EPS Growth as relevant information on certain companies is not available to the Fund's data provider. Forward P/E is the weighted average of the forward price/earnings ratios for the stocks in the Fund or Index, calculated as each stock's price at the date indicated divided by the company's forecast earnings for the following 12 months based on estimates provided by the Fund's data provider.

Fig. 4: Overpriced by Any Measure⁴



4. Source: www.currentmarketvaluation.com. The "S&P 500 Index 10 Year P/E Ratio" chart uses the cyclically adjusted P/E (CAPE) ratio which takes the average earnings over the last 10 years compared to the current stock market price. The CAPE ratio avoids the problem of a major recession year when earnings decline rapidly, such as by 90% in 2008, when, despite a dramatic decline in the stock market, the P/E ratio using current earnings jumped up to 120x.

Unprecedented Concentration

In terms of concentration, a handful of the largest companies represent an unprecedented concentration risk within the richly valued market averages. As with the charts above, such concentration has tended to be a warning sign of a stock market bubble, as shown in Figure 5.

Select Opportunities

While such bubbles are bad news for index investors, they are often good news for stock pickers. For example, as shown in Figures 4 and 5,

the beginning of the year 2000, much like today, shows up as an extremely overvalued and risky time to invest in the averages. Indeed, as can be seen in Figure 6, in the five years following the March 2000 peak, the total return of the S&P 500 Index was a negative 14.9%. However, a manager like us who had avoided the siren song of chasing momentum was rewarded for sticking to our discipline with a return of positive 9.3% and relative outperformance of more than 24 percentage points over this five-year period. ■

Fig. 5: Bubble Warnings: Periods of Extreme Market Concentration During the Past Century⁵

Market Cap of the Largest Stock Relative to the 75th Percentile Stock



5. Source: Compustat, CRSP, Kenneth R. French, Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research.


Fig. 6: Outperforming a Risky Market⁶

Comparative Returns, DNYVF vs. S&P 500 Index (3/31/00–3/31/05)

DNYVF: +9.29%

S&P 500 Index: –14.86%

Difference: +24.15%



Portfolio Positioning: Selective, Attractive Growth, Undervalued

Because we have steadfastly adhered to our long-term valuation discipline, for us the unwinding of the free-money bubble is an overdue but unsettling return to normalcy after more than a decade of delusion. As we return to reality and prepare for more volatile times, we are encouraged that the companies we own (including our carefully selected banks) are well-positioned for this changing environment. Investors are once again seeking durability, profitability, cash production, valuation and balance sheet strength. These characteristics are becoming increasingly desirable because they allow companies to better navigate a period of transition and uncertainty. While this transition may create headwinds for many of

the market darlings that led for so long, it may simultaneously create tailwinds for the type of durable, attractively valued businesses that lie at the heart of our investment discipline, finally rewarding the patience of our long-term clients.


Our conviction includes the recognition that short-term corrections and surprises are an unpleasant but inevitable part of the investment landscape. History shows that investors should expect a 10% correction on average once per year and a 20% correction every 3.5 years. The first half of this year was a dramatic case in point. Casual investors who only check their 401k balance on occasion might be forgiven for concluding that it was an uneventful six months culminating with a decent return. The reality of course is far different: in addition to geopolitical gyrations and volatility in the U.S. Treasury bond market, the S&P 500 Index fell nearly 20% from its

⁶. Source: Bloomberg.

February peak to its April trough. After this swoon, the media was filled with stories of a new bear market with one pundit claiming on CNBC, “the past week’s price action screams ‘bear market’ to us...” However, from these lows, the market is up almost 25%, reinforcing the importance of staying the course through times of volatility.

To bring home the importance of staying the course, Figure 7 quantifies the dramatically lower returns an investor would have earned by missing out on the five best days of a given period. The section headed “1H 2025” shows that investors would have lagged the market return (represented by the

S&P 500 Index) by a staggering 18.3 percentage points if they had missed only five days in the first half of 2025. The section of the chart entitled “2005–2025” makes clear that 2025 is not an isolated or anomalous period. Looking back over all rolling three-year periods between 2005–2025, an investor who missed the five best days would have given up more than eight percentage points per year in returns vs. one who held steady. This dramatic data reinforces the timeless wisdom of famed investor Peter Lynch who warned that “far more money has been lost by investors preparing for corrections, or trying to anticipate corrections, than has been lost in corrections themselves.”⁷ ■



7. Quoted in a September 1995 interview with Worth magazine. 8. Source: FactSet, MSCI, S&P, AllianceBernstein. Annualized trailing three-year daily returns of the S&P 500 Index and MSCI EAFE Index and trailing three-year daily returns where the five best days are excluded from each rolling three-year period and are calculated every business day from January 2008 (trailing three years goes back to January 2005) through end of June 2025. The average of these returns is then calculated.

Portfolio Themes and Holdings

While we build our portfolios from the bottom up based on company-by-company research rather than top-down trends, most of the attractively valued and durable businesses we own reflect four overarching, long-term themes (see Figure 8).

Financials: Misunderstood Durability





Financial companies represent the largest sector weighting in DNYVF and reflect a theme we describe as “Misunderstood Durability.” Since the 2008–2009 Global Financial Crisis (GFC), investor sentiment has tended to view financial companies in general and banks in particular as fragile, volatile and prone to disaster. This perception is understandable but inaccurate with regards to the select banks we own, such as Capital One, Wells Fargo and U.S. Bancorp all of which grew their customer bases through both the GFC and the regional banking crisis of 2023. A combination of higher capital ratios, larger market shares and tighter regulation have reduced the riskiness of these well-run institutions while increasing their durability and competitive advantages. Their quarterly and even annual earnings can be volatile, but such “lumpiness” does not diminish

the fact that these companies generate capital throughout the business cycle at an attractive rate and use this capital to increase shareholder value by steadily raising dividends and buying shares at discounted prices. Finally, while AI creates some long-term risks for traditional banking services, in the short and medium terms, the combination of regulation and large upfront IT spending requirements should advantage the big, well-managed banks we own, giving them significantly lower costs than their regional competitors.

Technology: Resilient, Reasonably-Priced Growth

Technology, broadly defined, makes up the portfolio’s next largest theme. As with financials, the term technology covers a broad range of companies with diverse types of business models. Within the tech universe, we focus on a select few companies that combine proven long-term growth with reasonable valuations. This valuation discipline has led us to avoid many of the market darlings that have driven the S&P 500 Index in recent years, including most of the so-called Magnificent Seven tech darlings that today represent an unprecedented concentration of almost 35% of the S&P 500 Index’s market capitalization.⁹

Fig. 8: Four Investment Themes with Long Tailwinds

 <p>Financials <i>Misunderstood Durability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Enhanced level of capital ▪ Cheap, underestimated ▪ Industries include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Non-financial financials – Mega banks – Consumer finance 	 <p>Tech <i>Resilient, Reasonably-Priced Growth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Focus on valuation ▪ Stalwarts vs. darlings ▪ Global reach can help offset inflation ▪ Industries include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – E-commerce – Cloud – Online search – Social media – Semiconductors 	 <p>Healthcare <i>Durability vs. Speculation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Driven by demographics ▪ Services and generics ▪ Pharma and biotech have big single drug risk 	 <p>Industrials <i>Resilient, Non-Linear Growth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Electrification ▪ Energy efficiency
--	---	--	--

9. The Magnificent Seven consists of Alphabet, Amazon, Apple, Meta Platforms, Microsoft, Nvidia, and Tesla.

In fact, while others have been piling into companies like Meta and Alphabet, which we have owned for many years, we have used their recent outperformance as an opportunity to significantly reduce our positions in these excellent, but richly valued, companies. Instead of market darlings such as Nvidia, Apple or Tesla, our technology investments have been focused on durable, proven but less glamorous parts of the technology sector such as semiconductor manufacturing (Texas Instruments and Samsung), capital equipment (Applied Materials) and, of course, retail and cloud-hosting infrastructure (Amazon).

As we discussed earlier, all investments (not just those in the technology sector) are likely to be affected by the much-heralded breakthroughs in artificial intelligence. In preparing for this impact, investors should bear in mind Roy Amara's famous law: "We tend to overestimate the effect of a technology in the short run and underestimate the effect in the long run."¹⁰ As with the internet, our approach is to avoid the "story stocks" and instead ask which companies can use this powerful new tool most effectively to build the value of their businesses and, just as importantly, which companies are most threatened by the new technology. While AI stocks will likely go through booms and busts, the underlying technology is transformational and seems certain to be a permanent part of the economic landscape going forward. As such, questions about its impact are already a standard part of our research process.

Healthcare: Durability vs. Speculation

For decades, healthcare spending relentlessly rose from 5% of U.S. GDP in 1960 to roughly 17% in 2010. However, reflecting the wisdom of Herb Stein's famous observation that "if something cannot go on forever, it will stop,"¹¹ the rollout of the Affordable Care Act in 2010, along with a range of other public and private initiatives, has led to a flattening of this growth since then. Today, while healthcare spending remains a political hot button, it is somewhat encouraging that 15 years later, its percentage of GDP still stands around 17% despite the invention of many expensive new therapies in the interim. To offset the rising costs of novel treatments and innovative (and patented) pharmaceutical therapies, the healthcare system has needed to constantly find savings elsewhere. As a result, our investments in this important sector have tended to avoid pharmaceutical and biotech companies that may rely on high prices to recoup costs and instead focus on areas such as generic drug manufacturers (Viatris), hospital product companies (Solventum), and lab testing companies (Quest Diagnostics). Historically, we have also made several profitable long-term investments in the health insurance area. As companies in this controversial sector have swooned under recent scrutiny, investors have been fleeing the sector, driving many companies' stock prices down more than 50%. While we acknowledge today's risks, we also see no practical alternative to the employer-paid system of health insurance in this country. As a result, previous experience has

¹⁰. Roy Amara (1925–2007) was an American scientist and president of the Institute for the Future. ¹¹. Known as "Stein's Law," formulated in 1986 by Herbert Stein, an American economist and senior fellow at the American Enterprise Institute.

taught us that such periods of controversy (including those experienced under both the Clinton and Obama administrations) often create good entry points into these dominant companies. As a result, we have initiated a position in UnitedHealth which joins our holdings in CVS and Humana.

Industrials: Resilient, Non-Linear Growth

In an age of digitization, software, AI, content creation, advertising, and hot consumer brands, companies that operate railroads and generate electricity (Berkshire Hathaway), extract copper (Teck Resources), manufacture insulation (Owens Corning), raise food (Tyson) or produce energy (Tourmaline Oil) may sound dull, but our civilization cannot function without their products. The necessity of these products and, in many cases, the environmental risks of producing them, create regulatory and legal complexity. Their production often requires large upfront capital investment. In any short-term period, their demand and supply may be subject to cyclical swings, resulting in earnings volatility for producers. Further, as such products are not especially differentiated, price and availability are often the only drivers of customer preference, making it difficult for any one company to maintain high returns without attracting new competition.

So how do we find attractive opportunities in such a difficult category? First, because many such dull businesses are overlooked and out of favor in today's growth-driven market, carefully selected industrials currently sell at extremely low valuations. This is despite their having long-term records of growth, durable earnings power and competitive advantages driven by low-cost assets or economies of scale,

and some inflation protection due to long-lived assets. What's more, certain long-term trends may be accelerating the growth rates of a number of these companies. For example, in a world of massively expanding computing power, the need for electricity is markedly accelerating. Trends like electrification of automobiles and expansion of the electricity grid increase copper demand at a rate that is likely to continue to outstrip growth in supply (a byproduct of the enormous regulatory challenges of permitting and building new mines).

Finally, in ways that are hard to anticipate, the advent of AI discussed earlier is almost certain to create enormous disruptions in many white-collar service industries in much the same way that automation and globalization impacted U.S. manufacturing and blue-collar workers in the 1980s and 1990s. As the services sector faces significant uncertainty in the years ahead, people may be more enthusiastic about our industrial holdings with their low valuations, dull business models and resistance to obsolescence.

One last holding that bears mention fits the description of resilient, nonlinear growth but is not an industrial. The company is MGM Resorts International. While casinos may not seem to have a lot in common with things that move, warm or feed us, gambling is also a timeless, human urge. Within this durable sector, MGM is the premier global company, commanding approximately 40% of the casinos on the Las Vegas strip, a powerful position in Macau and, expected before the end of this decade, a monopoly on gambling in Japan. ■

Looking Ahead: A Turning Tide

After a long stretch in which our investment discipline was out of favor, we see many indications that the tide is turning. The current combination of economic, technological and geopolitical transitions bodes poorly for momentum investors/trend followers, while high market valuations and extreme concentration bode poorly for the major stock indices and passive investors. In contrast, our highly selective approach and proven long-term investment discipline is becoming more important than ever.

While we expect periods of volatility and disruption as we navigate this time of triple transition, the combination of above-average growth and below-average valuations that characterizes our portfolio today is rare. It positions us well to reward investors

who resisted the bandwagon's siren song and held fast to our patient, long-term approach to building generational wealth. The key pillars of success in this tumultuous environment are the cornerstones of our investment discipline and selection criteria: cash generation, conservative capital structure, durable business model, low valuation and proven, adaptable management.

For more than 50 years we have navigated a constantly changing investment landscape guided by one North Star: to grow the value of the funds entrusted to us. We are pleased to have achieved strong results thus far and look forward to the decades ahead. With more than \$2 billion of our own money invested in our portfolios, we stand shoulder to shoulder with our clients on this long journey.¹² We are grateful for your trust and are well-positioned for the future. ■



Barron's Video Interview with Chris Davis Watch Now

Topics

- How the “easy-money era” impacted investing
- Why we believe select Financials offer investors an attractive risk-reward opportunity today
- Why Berkshire Hathaway’s unique culture will help it navigate inevitable transitions
- How internalizing the lessons from Charlie Munger will help anyone, in any profession, get better

¹². As of 6/30/25, Davis Advisors, the Davis family and Foundation, our employees, and Fund directors have more than \$2 billion invested alongside clients in similarly managed accounts and strategies.

This material is authorized for use by existing shareholders. A current Davis New York Venture Fund prospectus must accompany or precede this material if it is distributed to prospective shareholders. You should carefully consider the Fund's investment objective, risks, charges, and expenses before investing. Read the prospectus carefully before you invest or send money.

This material includes candid statements and observations regarding investment strategies, individual securities, and economic and market conditions; however, there is no guarantee that these statements, opinions or forecasts will prove to be correct. These comments may also include the expression of opinions that are speculative in nature and should not be relied on as statements of fact.

Davis Advisors is committed to communicating with our investment partners as candidly as possible because we believe our investors benefit from understanding our investment philosophy and approach. Our views and opinions include "forward-looking statements" which may or may not be accurate over the long term. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words like "believe," "expect," "anticipate," or similar expressions. You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which are current as of the date of this material. We disclaim any obligation to update or alter any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. While we believe we have a reasonable basis for our appraisals and we have confidence in our opinions, actual results may differ materially from those we anticipate.

Objective and Risks. The investment objective of Davis New York Venture Fund is long-term growth of capital. There can be no assurance that the Fund will achieve its objective. Some important risks of an investment in the Fund are: **stock market risk:** stock markets have periods of rising prices and periods of falling prices, including sharp declines; **common stock risk:** an adverse event may have a negative impact on a company and could result in a decline in the price of its common stock; **financial services risk:** investing a significant portion of assets in the financial services sector may cause the Fund to be more sensitive to problems affecting financial companies; **foreign country risk:** foreign companies may be subject to greater risk as foreign economies may not be as strong or diversified. As of 6/30/25, the Fund had approximately 24.2% of net assets invested in foreign companies; **China risk – generally:** investment in Chinese securities may subject the Fund to risks that are specific to China including, but not limited to, general development, level of government involvement, wealth distribution, and structure; **headline risk:** the Fund may invest in a company when the company becomes the center of controversy. The company's stock may never recover or may become worthless; **large-capitalization companies risk:** companies with \$10 billion or more in market capitalization generally experience slower rates of growth in earnings per share than do mid- and small-capitalization companies; **manager risk:** poor security selection may cause the Fund to underperform relevant benchmarks; **depository receipts risk:** depository receipts involve higher expenses and may trade at a discount (or premium) to the underlying security and may be less liquid than the underlying securities listed on an exchange; **emerging market risk:**

securities of issuers in emerging and developing markets may present risks not found in more mature markets; **fees and expenses risk:** the Fund may not earn enough through income and capital appreciation to offset the operating expenses of the Fund; **foreign currency risk:** the change in value of a foreign currency against the U.S. dollar will result in a change in the U.S. dollar value of securities denominated in that foreign currency; and **mid- and small-capitalization companies risk:** companies with less than \$10 billion in market capitalization typically have more limited product lines, markets and financial resources than larger companies, and may trade less frequently and in more limited volume. See the prospectus for a complete description of the principal risks.

The information provided in this material should not be considered a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any particular security. As of 6/30/25, the top ten holdings of Davis New York Venture Fund were: Capital One Financial, 9.34%; Meta Platforms, 8.21%; Berkshire Hathaway, 5.20%; Applied Materials, 4.90%; U.S. Bancorp, 4.39%; Danske Bank, 4.27%; MGM Resorts, 4.21%; CVS Health, 3.93%; Amazon.com, 3.78%; and Texas Instruments, 3.16%.

Davis Funds has adopted a Portfolio Holdings Disclosure policy that governs the release of non-public portfolio holding information. This policy is described in the Statement of Additional Information. Holding percentages are subject to change. Visit davisfunds.com or call 800-279-0279 for the most current public portfolio holdings information.

The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS®) is the exclusive intellectual property of MSCI Inc. (MSCI) and S&P Global ("S&P"). Neither MSCI, S&P, their affiliates, nor any of their third party providers ("GICS Parties") makes any representations or warranties, express or implied, with respect to GICS or the results to be obtained by the use thereof, and expressly disclaim all warranties, including warranties of accuracy, completeness, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The GICS Parties shall not have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of such damages.

We gather our index data from a combination of reputable sources, including, but not limited to, Lipper, Clearwater Wilshire Atlas, and index websites.

The **S&P 500 Index** is an unmanaged index of 500 selected common stocks, most of which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange. The index is adjusted for dividends, weighted towards stocks with large market capitalizations and represents approximately two-thirds of the total market value of all domestic common stocks. The **MSCI World Index** captures large and mid cap representation across Developed Markets countries. The index covers approximately 85% of the free float-adjusted market capitalization in each country. Investments cannot be made directly in an index.

After 10/31/25, this material must be accompanied by a supplement containing performance data for the most recent quarter end.